



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/905,161	07/12/2001	John L. Barrett	8X8S.261PA	2760	
40581 75	90 11/20/2006		EXAM	INER	
CRAWFORD MAUNU PLLC 1270 NORTHLAND DRIVE, SUITE 390			POWERS, WILLIAM S		
ST. PAUL, MN	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2134		
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/905,161	BARRETT, JOHN L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
William S. Powers	2134		

	William S. Powers	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A	•	in the Englandanta who	inhawania laka - la
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecting FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co. (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beto	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej		ine issues ioi
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•	II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:	Elbert	3	
	GILBERTO I	BARRON Ja	
	SUPERVISORY PATI TECHNOLOGY C	ENT EXAMINER	
		LIVIER 2100	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As to Applicant's arguments against the 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1 and 18, the response is in the Final Office Action of 8/21/2006. As to Applicant's argument that the Attick patent does not teach motion detection, the Attick patent "continuously search[es] for individuals who have entered the field of view." The act of entering a field of view connotes movement into a field of view and the individual is detecting upon entering, moving into, the field of view. As to Applicant's argument the Yee patent does not teach enabling the MCR device via an application, the Examiner can find no limitation in the claim 9 or parent claim 1 that requires the enabling of keystroke tracking "via an application."